

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

| datio                           |   | PC   | بي.                           |                    |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| anslation                       | INTERNATIO  | NAL PRELIMINA  |                               | ATION REE          | PORT                                     |
|                                 |   | (PCT Article 3                                       |                               | ATTON REF          | OKI                                      |
| Applicant's or agent's          |   | FOR FURTHER ACT                                      | See Notifi                    |                    | ansmittal of Interna                     |
| P1222 International application |   | International filing date                            | Preliminary                   | ·-                 | eport (Form PCT/IPEA/<br>day/month/year) |
| PCT/CH20                        |   | 02 July 2003 (0                                      |                               |                    | 2002 (16.07.2002)                        |
| C07J 73/00                      |   | iiviiai viassiiivaiivii aire i                       |                               |                    |  |
| Applicant                       |   |  |                               |                    |  |
| <b>ж</b> ррнеан                 | SIEGFF  | RIED GENERICS II                                     | ITERNATION                    | AL AG              |  |
| This internation                | onal preliminary examin                           | nation report has been pre                           | nared by this Intern          | national Prelimit  | eery Evernining Author                   |
| and is transmi                  | itted to the applicant acc                        | ording to Article 36.                                | paroa of ano missis           | Iduoiita a 10      |  |
| 2. This REPORT                  | $\Gamma$ consists of a total of $\_$              |  | cluding this cover s          | heet.              |  |
| This re                         | port is also accompanie                           | d by ANNEXES, i.e., sh                               | ets of the descripti          | on, claims and/o   | or drawings which have                   |
| 70.16 a                         | ed and are the basis for and Section 607 of the A | this report and/or sheets Administrative Instruction | s under the PCT).             | itions made ber    | ore this Authority (see                  |
| These                           | annexes consist of a tota                         | al ofshe   | ets.                          |                    |  |
| 3. This report co               |   | ng to the following items                            |                               | ***                | ······································   |
| 3. 1ms topoit co                | Basis of the report                               | ng to the following items                            | ;                             |                    |  |
| · 🖂                             |   |  |                               |                    |  |
| п                               | Priority  |  |                               |                    |  |
| шШ                              |   | f opinion with regard to r                           | ovelty, inventive st          | ep and industria   | l applicability                          |
| IV 📙                            | Lack of unity of inver                            |  |                               |                    |  |
| v 🖂                             | Reasoned statement u citations and explanat       | under Article 35(2) with a tions supporting such sta | egard to novelty, in<br>ement | iventive step or i | industrial applicability;                |
| vı 🔲                            | Certain documents ci                              | ted  |                               |                    |  |
| vII 🗌                           | Certain defects in the                            | international application                            |                               |                    |  |
| vIII 🖂                          | Certain observations                              | on the international appl                            | cation                        |                    |  |
| _                               |   |  |                               |                    |  |
| Date of submission of           | f the demand                                      | ]  | Pate of completion            | of this report     |  |
| 22 Ja                           | muary 2004 (22.01.2                               | 2004)  | 20 (                          | October 2004       | (20.10.2004)                             |
| Name and mailing ad             | dress of the IPEA/EP                              |  | uthorized officer             |                    | <del></del>                              |
|                                 |   |  |                               |                    |  |
| Facsimile No.                   |   | -  | elephone No.                  |                    |  |

International application No.

# PCT/CH2003/000435

| I. B  | I. Basis of the report  |  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. \  | With r  | regard to  | the elements of the international application:*   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | $\boxtimes$   | the inter  | national application as originally filed  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | $\boxtimes$   | the desc   | ription:  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages _  | 1-17  | , as originally filed             |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages .  |   | , filed with the demand           |  |  |  |
| pages, filed wit  |   |  | , filed with the letter of  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | $\boxtimes$   | the clair  | ns:   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  | 1-21  | , as originally filed             |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  | , as amended (together with   | h any statement under Article 19  |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages .  |   | filed with the demand             |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  | , filed with the letter of  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | the dray   |   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  |   | , as originally filed             |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  |   | , filed with the demand           |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  | , filed with the letter of  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | $\Box$ t  | he seque   | nce listing part of the description:  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  |   | , as originally filed             |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  |   | , filed with the demand           |  |  |  |
|   |   | pages  | , filed with the letter of  |                                   |  |  |  |
| 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language  where the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). |   |  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  |  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ or 55.3).  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
| 3.  | With<br>preli   | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: |   |                                   |  |  |  |
| ł   |   | contai   | ned in the international application in written form.   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   |   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
| furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   |   |  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | intern   | tatement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not grational application as filed has been furnished.   |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | Ш   |  | tatement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to $\hat{p}$ in $\hat{p}$ is identical to $\hat{p}$ .                                   | the written sequence listing has  |  |  |  |
| 4.  |   | The a  | mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:   | •                                 |  |  |  |
| 1   |   |  | the description, pages  |                                   |  |  |  |
| 1   |   |  | the claims, Nos.  |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  | the drawings, sheets/fig  |                                   |  |  |  |
| 5   | . 🗆   | This re  | eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since if the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** | e they have been considered to go |  |  |  |
|   | * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17). |  |   |                                   |  |  |  |
| *   | * Any   | replacei   | nent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexe   | d to this report.                 |  |  |  |
| 1   |   |  |   |                                   |  |  |  |

International application No.

| INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT   | PCT/CH2003/000435 |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| VIII. Certain observations on the international application  |                   |  |  |  |
| The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: |                   |  |  |  |
| See the supplemental sheet   |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
| •  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
| • **   |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
| •  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |
|  |                   |  |  |  |

International application No. PCT/CH 03/00435

| <b>7.</b> | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; |
|-----------|--|
|           | citations and explanations supporting such statement   |

|    |                               | <del>-</del> |      |       |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------|------|-------|
| 1. | Statement                     |              |      |       |
|    | Novelty (N)                   | Claims       | 1-21 | YES   |
|    |                               | Claims       |      | NO    |
|    | Inventive step (IS)           | Claims       |      | YES   |
|    |                               | Claims       | 1-21 | NO NO |
|    | Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims       | 1-21 | YES   |
|    |                               | Claims       |      | NO    |

Citations and explanations

The closest prior art in relation the present claimed invention is:

D1: EP-A-428 366

The following documents are also important in evaluating the inventive step of the present claimed invention:

D2: Tett. Lett. vol. 25(42), 4783-4786 (1984)

D3: US-A-5 710 342

## Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))

Claim 1 relates to a process for introducing a 1,2 double bond into 4-azasteroid compounds (i.e. converting 1,2-saturated 4-azasteroids of formula (II) into corresponding 1,2-unsaturated compounds of formula (I)). This process consists of three steps:

(A) introduction of a 3-oxo protective group into an intermediate of formula III comprising an enol group (i.e. a group of the formula 2,3-en-3-0-[protective group]);

International application No. PCT/CH 03/00435

- (B) introduction of the 1,2 double bond by dehydrogenating the intermediate of formula III in the presence of:
  - (i) a dehydrogenation catalyst, and
  - (ii) an optionally substituted benzoquinone
    - allyl methylcarbonate,
    - allyl ethylcarbonate and/or
    - allyl propylcarbonate;
- (C) deprotection.

The applicant should note here that D1 (example 1) describes a process wherein:

- (1) treating the 3-oxo group of the compound 17β-[t-butylaminocarbonyl]-4-azaandrost-3-on (identical with the starting product of formula (II) in claim 1) with (COCl)<sub>2</sub> in the presence of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and pyridine protects said group, the protected intermediate derived therefrom being identical with the intermediates of formula (III) in claim 1 (in formula (III) R<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>4</sub> together represent a group of the formula -C(O)-C(O));
- (2) the protected intermediate produced in step (A) is reacted with Br<sub>2</sub> to give the corresponding 2-bromo compound;
- (3) the 2-bromo compound is deprotected to give the compound 2-bromo-17 $\beta$ -[t-butylaminocarbonyl]-4-azaandrost-3-on;
- (4) the compound 2-bromo-17 $\beta$ -[t-butylaminocarbonyl]-4-

International application No. PCT/CH 03/00435

azaandrost-3-on is dehydrohalogenated to give the compound  $17\beta$ -[t-butylaminocarbonyl]-4-azaandrost-1-en-3-on.

The applicant should note here that in claim 1 the 1,2 double bond is introduced using a dehydrogenation catalyst in the presence of an optionally substituted benzoquinone, allyl methylcarbonate, allyl ethylcarbonate and/or allyl propylcarbonate. In the process described in D1,  $Br_2$  is used as a dehydrogenating agent. The process described in claim 1 and claims 2-21, which are dependent on claim 1, is therefore novel according to PCT Article 33(2).

## Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

The problem addressed by the subject matter of the present invention consists in introducing a 1,2 double bond into 4-aza-3-on steroid compounds (see the description, page 1, line 14 to page 2, line 2).

The process claimed in claim 1 differs from that in D1 only in that, in claim 1, the 1,2 double bond is introduced using a dehydrogenation catalyst in the presence of an optionally substituted benzoquinone, allyl methylcarbonate, allyl ethylcarbonate and/or allyl propylcarbonate, whereas in D1 Br<sub>2</sub> is used as a dehydrogenating agent without a catalyst (see "Novelty" above).

The applicant should note here that the use of a dehydrogenation catalyst in the presence of allyl methylcarbonate to dehydrogenate carboxyl-derived protected enol intermediates is known from D2 (see D2, page 4783, figure; the dehydrogenation catalyst in D2 is

International application No.
PCT/CH 03/00435

Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, which is identical with the preferred Pd(II) dehydrogenation catalysts indicated in dependent claim 14). Transferring this teaching from D2 to that of D1, thereby substituting the dehydrogenation catalyst used in D2 (and in claim 1) for Br<sub>2</sub> as used in D1 in a process carried out in the presence of allyl methylcarbonate, represents an obvious solution to the above-indicated problem, leading to a process identical with that of claim 1. Thus, the process described in claim 1 represents an obvious solution to the above-indicated problem and consequently lacks inventive step according to PCT Article 33(3).

Dependent claims 2-21 do not appear to contain any further features whereby these claims could meet the requirement for inventive step. Thus, the subject matter of these claims also lacks inventive step according to PCT Article 33(3).

International application No.
PCT/CH 03/00435

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The expression "dehydrogenation catalyst" (claim 1) lacks a generally acknowledged meaning in this technical area and defines the catalyst by its effect, not by its chemical structure. In general, claims which attempt to characterize the invention by the result to be achieved should not be allowed (PCT Examination Guidelines, paragraph III-4.7).

However, such wording would be allowable if the invention could only be defined in this way or could not be otherwise more closely defined without the range of protection of the claims being excessively restricted and the result were one that could be verified by tests or procedures adequately specified in the description or known to a person skilled in the art, rather than unreasonable experiments. The applicant should note here that the structurally unlimited possibilities (claim 1 does not define any structural features of the catalyst) do not disclose a technical teaching that is sufficiently clear for said person skilled in the art to carry it out with reasonable intellectual application - the latter concept not excluding the conduct of routine experiments because the structurally unlimited possibilities of the catalyst in claim 1 give no technical suggestion that would lead a person skilled in the art to the structural possibilities that would achieve this result. Claim 1 is thus unclear according to PCT Article 6.